










Report for 2016 Multimatter Management Survey

1. Response Counts


Completion Rate:	100%	
	Complete	 44
	Partial	 0
	Disqualified	 0
		Total 44



2. In what percentage of cases do you or your client's reuse or reprocess ESI from prior custodians, collections or productions?




Value	Percent		Count
None	9.3%		4
<25% - uncommon	60.5%		26
25-50% - common	20.9%		9
> 50% - most	9.3%		4
Total			43

3. On average, how many ediscovery technologies or providers does typical ESI migrate through from collection through production for a single case?




Value	Percent		Count
1	28.6%		12
2-3	71.4%		30
Total			42

4. In what percentage of cases do you or your clients actively leverage prior review designations or productions?




Value	Percent	Count
None	9.5%	4
<25% - uncommon	73.8%	31
25-50% - common	11.9%	5
>50% - most	4.8%	2
Total		42

5. Which of the following best describes your or your clients cross matter implementation of discovery procedures and technologies:



6. Does multi-matter capability impact you or your client's purchasing preferences?



Value	Percent	Count
Does not matter - do not need functionality and would never use it	4.8%	2
Nice to have - Unsure of actual benefit or ROI, but would be willing to try it	69.0%	29
Important - Know that we need it and a real consideration in selection	21.4%	9
Required - Would not consider a provider or technology that does not provide it.	4.8%	2
Total		42

Powered by



Create powerful [surveys](#) and [reports](#) with a smile!